(G B S IX 5)

Previous references

File No. 6

191
(Mercy

Serial Nos.

Later references

J. E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

papers, the Abdul Aha Khadim
Darga at Chandapatna.

Destroyed
2-1-26

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28 1 19

To
The D. Comm of Bangalore

D
Despd 5th June 1873

Recd

fl No 780
P. 74

Forwards for disposal or report if necessary, Pho 106 of 10-4-73 from Mohayuddin Khadri, Makandar of Dodda Makan at Channapatna Closepet Taluk.

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office.

No. 780
7574

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 106, dated 10th April 1879 received at the Chief Commissioner's Office from Syed Mohayudin Khadri, Ma= =kandar of Dodda Makan at Channapatna, Closepete= Salute is forwarded, together with its four enclosures to the Deputy Comm^r of the Bangalore District for disposal, or report if necessary.

"By Order,"

BANGALORE,
5th June 1879.

For Rev: Secretary.

V. K.

neral Serial No. 32.)

1881/82.

30.

ne of P itioner. Syed Mohamed Sultan Shah Kadri

llage. Akalasher Kadri Darga

luk.

istrict. Bangalore

ate of Arzi. 7 May 1881.

ate of Receipt. 9. 5. 81.

ate of Disposal.

o. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that on the Complaint of one Syed Ali the monthly allowance payable to the Makan has been stopped on account of the Repairs of the Makan. Ergo that Orders be issued to withhold Rs 25 a month for the Repairs the last being paid to the Petitioner to enable him to meet the Cost of Establishment

Orders &c — If
be complied with the
be permitted to under
institution.

the above Request
petitioner himself may
take the Repairs of the

ಪ್ರ.

ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ

ಹೌದು ಸಾರ್ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಅಂತ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ

Ex. No. 11 1879-80.

No. 126.

Name of Petitioner. Syed Mohammadee Shahdie Durbandaz of

Village. Vadavanakan Thirunageshwaram

Taluk. Mayaveram

District. Tanjore

Date of Arzi. 10. April 79

Date of Receipt. 12. "

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Four

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner that the duties in the said Durgah were conferred by hereditary, & afterwards by election on these two persons and while both were duly performing the Durgah duties were usurped one Syed Mohammadee Shahdie and in his absence, & the Darwazah was unjustly broken in the name of the said usurper - Petr. prays conclusions, that the present Dr. order the said Shahdie has no some of the lands that were given to the Durgah & also for peace from people during Durgah

[illegible] festivals & misappropriations
the province & cash payments allowed
to the Mokam - He is a great drunkard
& does not do the mokam duties properly -
When the said Mokandar withheld
the pay of some of the Mokadams & Nadoties'
pay of 81 months at the rate of Rs 2-7-0, he addressed
the office & the Dy Commr, Whereupon orders having
been issued to, that the Subdr, appeal, enquiry is being made
for the last 2 months. Besides the Mokandars pay of
the last 6 months having been withheld, 5 months pay was
paid (to date). The Gramy (Dome) of the Mokam is allowed
to remain in a ruinous state & it will no doubt come down
in the next monsoons - Being enclosed the Maharaja's Firmd
& other papers in which it is said that the Mokandar shd be
a learned & pious man - but the present Mokandar is a man
of intemperate habits & is a great debtor -

Beg that some competent & virtuous man may
be appointed to the Mokam after full enquiry into his conduct.
& the Salam of 10 & other allowances Mokadars be ordered
to be paid by [illegible] ing the pay of the present Mokandar -

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 32.)

1880.

Ref

No. 2338.

Name of Petitioner. Syed Mahamed Sultan Mahadem Shah

Village. Khadri Manager of Attalashah Mukam

Taluk. Chennapatam (Vespet)

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 24. 11. 80.

Date of Receipt. 25. 11. 80.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. nil

ABSTRACT.

Inviting attention to his petition of the 3rd inst. dated that he asked the Taluk Officials to furnish him with a copy of the authority under which they suspended the distribution of the allowance minus the amount held in deposit - The stamp produced was returned - The Dy Commr also returned the petition with orders on the subject

No. 2338

To Mr. Saad Mukam [illegible]

Assistant [illegible]

ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತವೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1880.

No. 2211.

Name of Petitioner. Syed Mohamed sultan Mohiudeen Shahadri - Mokhtesar

Village. the Durga of Khalachakadri Channapatam

Taluk. Closepett

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 9. November 80

Date of Receipt. 10 - 10 80 -

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1 is -

ABSTRACT.

States that he addressed the Chiefcommr. on 2nd Oct. Instt. requesting that he would get the necessary repairs to the Durgah, if the amount placed in deposit be paid over to him. The Muharrum commences on the 2d of the Bakreed is only 4 days more remaining & the petr. has no money to feed the Fakeers & carry on the said Durgah the ensuing

Begs that the money kept in deposit may be ordered to be paid to him to meet the above expenditure as soon as possible -

Biennial

2211

ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਖੇ,

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਖਵਿੰਦਰ ਕੌਰ (ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਖਵਿੰਦਰ ਕੌਰ)

ਸਕੱਤਰ, ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਕੋਟਕਪੂਰਾ, ਜ਼ਿਲ੍ਹਾ ਫਰੀਦਕੋਟ।

ਵਿਸ਼ਾ:- ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਕੋਟਕਪੂਰਾ ਦੀ ਹੱਦ ਅੰਦਰ ਪੈਂਦੇ ਵਾਰਡ ਨੰਬਰ 12 ਵਿੱਚ ਸੀਵਰੇਜ ਦੀ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਸਬੰਧੀ।

ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤੀ ਸੁਖਵਿੰਦਰ ਕੌਰ ਜੀ,

ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਵਾਰਡ ਨੰਬਰ 12 ਦੇ ਵਸਨੀਕਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਕਾਫ਼ੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਤੋਂ ਸੀਵਰੇਜ ਦੇ ਓਵਰਫਲੋ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਭਾਰੀ ਮੁਸ਼ਕਿਲਾਂ ਦਾ ਸਾਹਮਣਾ ਕਰਨਾ ਪੈ ਰਿਹਾ ਹੈ। ਗਲੀ ਨੰਬਰ 3 ਅਤੇ ਗਲੀ ਨੰਬਰ 5 ਵਿੱਚ ਗੰਦਾ ਪਾਣੀ ਖੜ੍ਹਾ ਹੋਣ ਕਰਕੇ ਬੱਚਿਆਂ ਅਤੇ ਬਜ਼ੁਰਗਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਿਹਤ ਨੂੰ ਖ਼ਤਰਾ ਪੈਦਾ ਹੋ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ।

ਇਸ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਵਾਰਡ ਦੇ ਕੌਂਸਲਰ ਨੂੰ ਵੀ ਕਈ ਵਾਰ ਜ਼ੁਬਾਨੀ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕਾ ਹੈ, ਪਰ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਕੋਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋਈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੈਂ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕਰਦਾ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਸਮੱਸਿਆ ਦਾ ਜਲਦ ਤੋਂ ਜਲਦ ਹੱਲ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।

(General Serial No. 32.)

1880.

No. 218.

Name of Petitioner. Syd Mahamed Sultan Mahadeen Sah

Village. Khasa. Darga

Taluk. Chencapatam (Kolar)

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 6. 11 80.

Date of Receipt. 8. 11. 80.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

In continuation of his petition of the 25 October last states that Govt. are about Commence the repair of the Makan in his (Petitioners) absence as the estimate is now under preparation for work not actually begun. Since the date of the passing of the above Order the Makan Cannot be maintained.

Petitioner himself is willing to carry out the needful desires and requests therein that the money held in deposit may be released.

pp

J. Accountant

JN: 2180

in deposit, mean (e)

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು - ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು

Ref

(General Serial No. 32.)

1880.

No. 2179

Name of Petitioner. Syed Mahamed Sultan Mahadeen Shah

Village. Khadri Incumbent of

Taluk. the Mahan at Kundapoor

District. Canara

Date of Arzi. 3. 6 11 80

Date of Receipt. 8. 11. 80

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

State that on the
suspicious Complaint
of one Syed Ali the
Dharmo of the Jatra
Dr ordered the Mah.
Inadik (Sonk) be
held in deposit
to meet the cost
of Repairs of the Mah.
though the Inhabitants
themselves were responsible
defraying the Expenses
of Repairs. Request
that the said
deposit may be

ordered to be refunded as the Sum is required to be appropriated towards Paty Roads Expenses &c. – The Petitioners will be prepared to execute requisite Deposits to the Building

B. Freemans Ranch

Rev. Mr Harper
agt

COURT FEE
MYSORE
ONE RUPEE

No 2179

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਸਿਰਫ ਇਕ ਹੀ ਸਰਬ ਸ਼ਕਤੀਮਾਨ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ ਸਤਿ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਰਚਨਹਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਨਿਰਭਉ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਨਿਰਵੈਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਅਕਾਲ ਮੂਰਤ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਅਜੂਨੀ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸੈਭੰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਗੁਰ ਪ੍ਰਸਾਦਿ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਜਪਣ ਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਆਦਿ ਸਚ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਜੁਗਾਦਿ ਸਚ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਹੈ ਭੀ ਸਚ ਉਹ ਨਾਨਕ ਹੋਸੀ ਭੀ ਸਚ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਮਾਲਕ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਰਾਖਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਪਾਲਣਹਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਦਾਤਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਹਾਈ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਰਖਵਾਲਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਪਿਤਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਮਾਤਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਬੰਧੂ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਾਥੀ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਾਜਨ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਮਿਤਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿਗੁਰੂ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਪੂਰਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਮਰਥ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਿਰਜਣਹਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਨਿਰਮਾਤਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਕਰਤਾ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਵਾਹਿਗੁਰੂ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਅਕਾਲ ਪੁਰਖ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਨਿਰੰਕਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਓਅੰਕਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਚਿਤ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਆਨੰਦ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਵਾਹਿਗੁਰੂ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਅਕਾਲ ਪੁਰਖ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਨਿਰੰਕਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਓਅੰਕਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਚਿਤ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਆਨੰਦ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਪਰਮੇਸ਼ਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਵਾਹਿਗੁਰੂ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਅਕਾਲ ਪੁਰਖ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਨਿਰੰਕਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਓਅੰਕਾਰ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਚਿਤ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ ਆਨੰਦ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਸਭ ਦਾ ਸਤਿ ਚਿਤ ਆਨੰਦ ਸਰੂਪ

P°. No. 4258

Seid Mohadien. Tkadri
Makhandar, residing at Chen
napatna, Closepet Taluk.

Dated 10-3-80
Recd 11-3-80

Encls. Nil

Repeats the contents of his
petition dated 1st. April 1879 re
and requests that early orders
may be issued to institute an
enquiry into the irregularities
done by Sultan Mohadien
Shah Tkadri in case of the
Makhan Derga at Chenna-
patna in the Closepet Taluk
and to prevent the said person
from doing such irregularities.

C. Venkatasiah. J°P.

11.3 80 Kl

4258

EINGEMACHEN

P. No 3401.

Syed Ali Sahib,
Amildar of Adilabad
Khadri Gantoor
Cheruvapatam, Cuddapah
Taluk

Dy 15. 1. 80.
Recd 19. 1. 80.

Sir: with
Reference that orders
have been passed by
the Chief Commr to the
effect that Sultan
Burhanuddin Shah should
be removed off his
Dewanship from the
Peishkarship should
the Complaints, Reach
the Chief Commr against
the non-payments of
the allowances by the
Peishkar & Peeshkarin.
The first Peishkar
has resigned the Peishkarship
& he is in arrears
Executive Engineer
not carrying on Peishkarship

Takiri Regulars. Be go that strict orders be issued requiring the Village Managers to keep the Makan in a State of Repair out of the allowance paid to the Makan and to attend to the Feeding of Takiri &c Regulars.

3401

[illegible]

[illegible] 1880 [illegible]

[illegible]

~~From~~ 1871

To The Superintendant
Nagendiroog Division

Dated 25 April 1871

Despatched — 28th

Received 29th

Enclosures No. 1 & 2 Sp. A. f.

General No. 427 } 101
Ft. No. 8 } 1871/72

Your letter No 3 of the 5th instant returning the Petition of Syed Mahomed Sultan Mohadeen Shah, Kadri Mokttasur of the Durgah of Syed Mahomed Akalshah Kadri at Chennapatna and submitting an estimate amounting to Rs 425-0-0 for repairing the Durgah of Syed Mahomed Akulshah Kadri in the Chennapatna Taluk

× herewith returned.

With reference to the above the Chief Commissioner states that the amount required for the repair of the Durgah referred to, should be

Moozroy grant of the
District, a reciprocal
being made for the purpose
if necessary, and as Government
repaired the dyke at so
large a cost in 1864, the
Makandar right Colonel
Meade think to make
up a moiety of the sum
required.

× Rs. 729-

(By order)

22/4/71 Offg. Secretary

~~Naval Dept.~~

4 28
D 101

Copy forwarded to the Deputy
Accountant-General
with a Copy of the Estimate

From Superintendent

To The Secretary to the

Dated 5th Chief Commr.

Despatched 8th of Singapore

Received 12th April

Read 13th

Enclosures No. 2

General No. } 1870-71

Fin: No 3 }

Penang Offl

No 10 of 13th August 1870.

The Petition received, and enclosures for an Estimate Amount 425/- for repairs to Ah of Syed Mahomed Ishak Kadri in the Limapoolua Jawoor.

To D.A.G. for Opinion

12/9/71 12. A.K.

There appears to me to be sufficient reason to recommend the repairs of this Dargah out of State funds. It is a less special claim on appropriation may be made on account of Muslim Pious Foundations available in the Estt

[illegible]

C.87.

[illegible]

the amount required for the repair of this Dargah may be provided from the Surplus of the Income of the Mosque Fund

[illegible]

appropriation may be made for the purpose, if necessary.

[illegible]

annual

No. 13

Bundidroog Division
Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 5th April 1871.

To

The Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir,

In returning the Petition from Syed Mahomed Sultan Mohadeen Shah, Kadri Mokhtasur of the Durgah of Syed Mahomed Khalifah Kadri at Chennapatna, received under cover of Persaid Appaji's Memorandum No 310 of 13th August 1870, I have the honor to state for the Chief Commissioner's information that the repairs to the Durgah in question were estimated in December 1868 at Rupees 623, but the Estimate was not submitted for Sanction as the Chief Commissioner, on a former occasion, declined to sanction any repairs to the building, on the ground that it was repaired in 1864 at an outlay of Rupees 729 and that the Mahomedan

himself should repeat the repairs if necessary.

2. From inquiries since made, it appears that certain repairs are indispensable necessary and they are estimated to cost Rupees 425, The Petitioner promises to carry out the work in a substantial manner under his own supervision, but as the Deputy Superintendent states that there are no surplus Mooziry funds from which the charge can be met save a sum of Rupees 25-3-7 from the general Mooziry funds of the District, and that the work undertaken by Government as on a previous occasion beg to submit the estimate amounting to Rupees 425- for the final Commissioners orders.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Dy Sp. Superintendent

Athens

No. 1476 Dt

To
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras

Sir,

I have the honour to request that I may be permitted to proceed to Europe on leave for a period of one year from the date of my relief.

I am, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P.W.D. No 9.

From Superintendent N.W.P.

To The Secretary to Chief Commr.

Dated 16th 7 Sepoys

Despatched 19 } October

Received Rajpr 21st }

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 3234 } 1869-70

PWD No. 9 }

Secretary's Docket-No 3001/7 of 6th Ultimo.

Reports on petition from Sultan Mohudeen Shah Khadim Mokhadeem of the Shurgah at Ratan Nagpatnia.

Chief Commr's Office

FD Endorse & Return that the amount required for the buildings referred to is sanctioned

B 22/10/69

Endorsed 3651.

Rec. 22/10/69

Petitioners request to repair the building again in 1868, observing that he does not see why the Mahandar should not Effect the repairs himself.-

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

Superintendent.

1
In. 54.

PWD. No. 5947
From 1867/8. 92 C.C.

To The Supdt. Nundy Dy.

Dated 25th January 1868.
Despatched —
Received

Enclosures No. One

General No. 5947 / Rev No. 92 } 1867-68.

Your letter No. 3524/105 dated 10th January 1868, regarding the Mulshawi Kadree Dirgah at Cheruvapatanam.

Observes that it appears unreasonable to repair the building again so soon, when it was repaired so recently as 1864, whereas an interval of 11 years elapsed between 1853 and 1864. The Commr. does not see wherein the Mutawalee should not effect the repairs himself, and therefore [illegible]

declines to sanction the
Estimate, which is
herewith returned

By Order

D 24/1/68

Secy

To Dufton noted
28/1/68

No. 308

Memorandum

With reference to the Cundidroo Superintendents letter No. 352/105 of the 10th Instant, submitting an explanatory letter from the Deputy Superintendent regarding the estimate of the repairs required to the Pedtaulsna Khadri Durgah at Chennapatam as also a Abstract Translation of certain orders that were issued 1853 to the Amildar of that Taloote about the Durgah; I beg to report that in Sidharthee 1799 80 Dewan Poorniah issued an order to the Amildar to grant 600 Pagodas or its equivalent in land annually to the Durgah; accordingly the said amount was paid annually to it, till the year Prara 1831/32, when it would appear from the Jusdeeke Patty issued for that year the grant was reduced to 500 Pagodas, which is continued to be paid till the present day, by the monthly instalments of Pagodas 41- 6 10.

In 1853 Peerotha Khadri the Head of the said Durgah, petitioned the Commissioner regarding its dilapidated condition and represented that when his grandfather was household Priest to Hyder & the latter erected building and made several endowments towards it,

it, that as the monthly stipend was adequate for lighting the place he requested that orders might be given to effect the necessary repairs at Govt. expence or from the moiety of the amount allotted for the Durgah, in the Sudder, if not that he be allowed 2 years leave for the purpose of going to the grandsons of Hyder Ali and obtaining some money from them for the repair.

This Petition was referred to the Supdt. who in his letter No. 149 dated 12th August 1853 submitted an estimate for the repairs at the time amounting to Pagodas 193-9-4½ and stated that "I (Major Haines) suppose it will be debited to the accounts of the Moozroi Clakhah." The Commissioner's sanction for the said estimate was conveyed to the Supdt. in this Office letter No. 162 dated 16th August 1853. Subsequently the Superintendent in his letter No. 90 dated 13th May 1854 submitted for the Commissioner's sanction an additional estimate amounted to Pagodas 59 " 15¼; which was also sanctioned.

In August 1858, the Commissioner was again petitioned by the Makandar, stating that repairs to certain portions of the Durgah were not carried out, and this was referred to the Superintendent who in reply vide his letter No. 1644/29 dated 14th September 1864 requested sanction

to

to the disbursement of Rupees 729 for this purpose; This also was acceded to.

All the above sums as well as certain sums expended on some petty repairs that were done to the Durgah during the time of Coorniah were debited against the Govt. and the repairs to the Raghavendra Swamy Mutt at Kunjengode, are also made from the Revenue of the state.

I would observe, that the Moozrai surplus funds are available for the repairs of Chuttrum & Dawestans only, I am therefore of opinion that the repairs if sanctioned by the Commissioner needed to the Durgah in question should be executed at the expence of the Government.

A Anstruther

Bangalore
22nd January 1865

Encm. 22 Jan 65

I do not consider that it is politic to draw the distinction mentioned in the last para: of the Hon'ble Chief's Minute, that is to say that from whatever surplus funds we may have must be taken.
[It appears reasonable to retain the building upon which was appointed to exist in 1864 three years
~~The Govt. may be asked why that is necessary~~, or
interval of 10 years ~~lapse~~ elapsed between 1855
& 1864. I do not see moreover why the
Board not afford the retaining
I therefore decline to sanction the estimate]

22/1

BW

№ 308

С Меморандумом

No. 54

1868.

Enclosure No. 2

1867–68.

Memo No 308 D/22/1/68. [illegible]

Inward No 3524
No 105

Murundadroog Division
Superintendent's Office
Town Room 10th January 1868

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners
for the Ceded Territories &c &c
in Mysore at Bangalore

With reference to your letter No 3899/55 of the 16th ult
I have the honor to forward for the Commissioners information a copy of a letter No 703 of the 26th December from the Deputy Superintendent of the Murundadroog District together with an abstract translation of certain orders received by the Amildar of Chennapatam on the subject of the Dungaree in 1853.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

[illegible]
Superintendent

Recitation of the Orders dated the 22nd and 24th dated respectively 21st July and 19th August 1834 from Captain J. Harris to Superintendent of the Bengalee Children on the subject of learning the Bengali and Persian Languages.

From Captain J. Harris

Superintendent Bengalee Children.

To The Secretary of Government.

Sir, - Have the honor to transmit herewith the Recommendations of three Serampore boys of the 4th Class to gether with a petition from Sapeer Shaikh, the Head Native of Mr. Harris's Compy. in your Cutcha to which I pray to appear from the petition that the Bengali and Persian Languages are very little taught. Hab. I have to request therefore that you will obtain an Estimate for teaching the same and submit it to me under your seal and signature as soon as may be practicable.

—

To The Secretary of Government

Sir, In Estimate you required of 19th Instant and for which purpose I have had the honor of receiving your No. 236 dated 19th Instant I have deferred to the Orders contained in the 2nd Para of the 4th August. I have the honor to request that you will move the Superintendent of the Bengali Seminary to furnish an Estimate accordingly and submit to Government.

№ 713

Первому Департаменту Правительствующаго Сената

Отношение от 5 декабря 1864 г.

№ 7

Его Превосходительству

Господину Министру

Юстиции

Отдел Гражданских дел Рижской Судебной Палаты, в предложении своем от 15 сентября 1864 г. № 2884 (по 638 производству), изъявил мнение, что Высочайшее повеление 19 Апреля 1862 года, о предоставлении Рижскому Купеческому Обществу права на производство в Риге, в течение 10 лет, ежегодных, в Великий Пост, торгов, не может быть распространено и на торги, имеющие быть в Рижском Купеческом Обществе в 1865 году, так как, по Высочайше утвержденному 19 Апреля 1862 года мнению Государственного Совета, право это предоставлено Обществу только на 3 года, с 1862 по 1865 год включительно.

Сообщая о сем Вашему Превосходительству, для зависящих распоряжений, имею честь уведомить Вас, что Правительствующий Сенат, по Высочайшему Его Императорского Величества повелению, не признал возможным распространить действие Высочайше утвержденного 19 Апреля 1862 года мнения Государственного Совета на торги, имеющие быть в Рижском Купеческом Обществе в 1865 году.

С. Д. Шестаков

Верно:

No. 3889
Para 55

From C. Officer
Bangalore 16th Oct 1867

To The Asst. ~~Surgeon~~ Surgeon Dr.

Sir,

Referring to your letter No. 2298/68 of the 10th instant submitting an Estimate of Rs. 433 for repairing the Ahobilam Kadree Durga at Chennapatam, I am desired by the Officer Commanding to point out that no explanation is given why this building in which Rs 729 were expended in 1864 is again in need of repair — in these cases there is usually a certificate from the Executive Engineer ~~on the papers~~ stating under what circumstances the ~~Charge~~ ~~for these repairs should not be~~ has devolved upon him ~~borne by~~ Government instead of the Incumbent of the building

Yes
15.10.67

Sir
Your Obt Servt

15/10/67

P. W. D. 1867 No 3889
Pwd - 55

To The Offg Superintendent
of Nundidroog.

Dated 16th October

General No 3889 } 1867/68
PWD 55

With reference to his
letter No 2298/68 requests further
explanation of the Estimate
for repairing the Abdul shaw
Peduce Durga at Chennapatam

Transmitted 18th ditto

No. 186.

From The Superintendent
of Trade in China

To The Secretary to the
Commissioners of Customs

Dated 1 Octr

Despatched

Received 14th Nov

Enclosures No.

General No. } 186 -68.
Port No.

Original 2298/68

Bundidiroy Division
Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 10th October 1867

To,

The Secretary to the Commissioner for the Government of the Territories of His Highness the Rajah of Mysore,

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to your Official Memo. No. 672 of the 27th October last, to submit for the Commissioner's sanction an Estimate Amounting to Rs. 433. for repairing the Abdulshaw Radree Durga at Chennapatam.

2. A similar sanction was accorded in the Commissioner's letter No. 2056/28 of the 17th September 1864, I have therefore thought myself bound to submit the Matter.

I have the honor &c &c
Sir
Your most obt Servant

J. J. J. Superintendent